The Rupiah Resource Play

Indonesia's Downstreaming Bet, the Consumer Base, and a Medium-Term Accumulation Case

Darmine Capital · Macro Research Division · Singapore

December 2025

Abstract

Indonesia enters 2026 as one of the few large emerging markets pairing steady real growth near 5.0% with a genuine structural transformation story. The nickel downstreaming policy, once dismissed as resource nationalism, has pulled tens of billions of dollars in foreign direct investment into smelting, precursor chemistry, and battery capacity, positioning the archipelago inside the global electric vehicle supply chain rather than at its raw-material fringe. A 270 million person consumer base, a median age near 30, and a still-underleveraged household sector give the domestic demand engine a long runway. The offsets are real: a rupiah that has drifted weaker, a fiscal framework under pressure from a new administration's spending ambitions, terms-of-trade sensitivity to Chinese battery-metal oversupply, and governance questions around the sovereign wealth vehicle Danantara. We frame Indonesia as a medium-term accumulation story, not a trade. This paper sets out the growth base, the downstreaming economics, the policy backdrop at Bank Indonesia and the Finance Ministry, and where we would add.

1. The Setup: Steady Growth in an Unsteady Region

Across Darmine's Asia-Pacific coverage, 2026 is a year of divergence. Regional GDP growth of roughly 4.4% still runs well above the global average, and Asia continues to supply close to 60% of world growth, but the composition is lopsided. Taiwan and Korea capture the artificial-intelligence capital-expenditure cycle, China grinds through deflation and a property overhang, Japan tightens into a wage-driven reflation, and much of ASEAN sits exposed to a WTO merchandise trade volume forecast of only about 0.5% growth for the year. In that landscape, Indonesia's appeal is not that it grows fastest. India, at roughly 6.5%, holds our highest structural conviction. Indonesia's appeal is that it grows steadily, domestically, and increasingly on the back of an industrial policy that is starting to compound.

Real GDP has printed close to 5.0% for three consecutive years, a remarkable stability given the shocks of the period. The consistency is not accidental. Roughly 55% of output is private consumption, funded by a young and formalizing labour force rather than by exports of finished goods. That insulates Indonesia from the trade-volume air pocket now hitting the region's more open manufacturing hubs. Where Thailand and, at times, Malaysia live and die by external demand, Indonesia's cycle is anchored to 270 million people whose incomes are still rising off a low base.

> **Our view:** Indonesia is the ballast, not the beta, of an ASEAN portfolio. We do not own it to catch the AI cycle or a chip rebound. We own it because a 5.0% domestic-demand economy with a credible industrialization story is scarce, and scarcity of that kind is worth paying for on a three to five year horizon.

2. The Downstreaming Bet

The centerpiece of the Indonesian story is downstreaming, or hilirisasi: the policy of banning or taxing raw mineral exports to force value-added processing onshore. The 2020 ban on unprocessed nickel ore was the opening move. Indonesia holds the world's largest nickel reserves, and rather than shipping ore to Chinese smelters, Jakarta insisted the smelters come to Indonesia. They did. The result has been one of the fastest industrial buildouts in the emerging world.

2.1 From ore to batteries

The value chain Indonesia is trying to climb runs from ore, to nickel pig iron and ferronickel, to battery-grade nickel sulphate, to cathode precursor (pCAM), to cathode active material, and ultimately to battery cells and electric-vehicle assembly. Each step upward multiplies the value retained onshore. The government's own framing is that downstreaming lifted the export value of nickel products from a few billion dollars of ore to well over thirty billion dollars of processed goods within a handful of years. That figure flatters the story somewhat, because much of the mid-stream capacity is Chinese-owned and Chinese-financed, and a large share of the margin still accrues offshore. But the physical capacity is real, the jobs are real, and the option value of sitting inside the EV supply chain is real.

Foreign direct investment has followed the policy. Landmark commitments from Korean (LG, Hyundai) and Chinese (CATL) consortia to build integrated battery ecosystems, alongside the operational Hyundai LG cell plant, signal that Indonesia is no longer competing to export dirt. It is competing to host the precursor and cell stages of a supply chain that the entire world is trying to diversify away from a single-country concentration. That diversification imperative, driven by Western supply-chain security policy, is a structural tailwind Indonesia did not have to manufacture.

2.2 The oversupply problem

The bet is not without a large and immediate risk. The same speed that made Indonesia the world's dominant nickel processor has flooded the market. Indonesian output now accounts for well over half of global mined nickel supply, and the surge has crushed the LME nickel price from its 2022 spike to levels that render higher-cost producers elsewhere uneconomic. Class-one, battery-grade nickel remains tighter than the class-two pig-iron glut, but the headline price weakness has hurt export receipts and complicated the terms-of-trade math that underpins the rupiah. China's structural oversupply in batteries and metals, a theme running through our China and Malaysia work, is the single largest external threat to the downstreaming return profile.

> **Our view:** Downstreaming is a genuine structural asset, but investors should price it as an option, not an annuity. The near-term commodity cycle is against Indonesia, and much of today's mid-stream margin leaks to Chinese owners. The payoff is the move up the chain into precursor and cells over 2027 to 2030, where the diversification premium accrues. We accumulate into that optionality, not into this year's nickel print.

3. The Consumer Base

If downstreaming is the supply-side story, the 270 million consumers are the demand-side story, and the more durable of the two. Indonesia's median age is roughly 30, its urbanization rate is still climbing through the high-50s percent, and household credit penetration remains low by regional standards. Each of those is a multi-year tailwind. The formalization of the economy, digital payments (QRIS adoption has been among the fastest in the world), e-commerce, and a rising middle class combine into a consumption engine that does not depend on the trade cycle.

Mastercard Economics Institute and Deloitte consumer work both point to Southeast Asian consumption resilience through 2026, with Indonesia and the Philippines the standouts for domestic demand insulation. The caveat, familiar from our Philippines coverage, is that a young consumer base is only a growth engine if job creation and real-wage gains keep pace. Indonesia's challenge is that downstreaming, while capital-intensive and headline-grabbing, is not a large net job creator relative to the size of the labour force entering each year. Roughly two million people join the workforce annually. Smelters and battery plants employ thousands, not millions. The manufacturing-for-jobs agenda and the consumption engine therefore need labour-intensive sectors, not just capital-intensive metals, to fire together.

> **Our view:** The consumer base is the part of the Indonesia thesis we hold with the least reservation. It is structural, domestic, and largely decoupled from the trade-volume slowdown hitting the region. Our preferred expression is domestic banks and consumer-staples franchises rather than the miners, because they capture the formalization and credit-penetration trend without the commodity-price beta.

4. Fiscal Policy and the Prabowo Agenda

The administration that took office in late 2024 has been the largest new variable in the Indonesian macro picture. Its signature programs, a nationwide free-meals initiative and an aggressive downstreaming push, are expensive, and the fiscal question is whether they can be funded without breaching the discipline that has been Indonesia's hard-won credibility anchor. The statutory budget-deficit ceiling of 3% of GDP has been the single most important reason foreign investors trust Indonesian assets. It survived the pandemic (with a temporary, legislated breach and a credible return path) and remains in force.

The 2026 budget targets a deficit around 2.5% to 2.7% of GDP, inside the ceiling but wider than the sub-2.3% prints of recent years, reflecting the new spending. Government debt near 40% of GDP is low by global standards, and the debt-service burden is manageable, but the tax-to-GDP ratio, stuck around a low 10% to 12%, is the structural weakness. Indonesia does not have a spending problem so much as a revenue-mobilization problem, and every ambitious program sharpens that constraint.

4.1 Danantara and the governance question

The launch of Danantara, a sovereign wealth vehicle intended to consolidate state-enterprise assets and channel them toward downstreaming and strategic investment, is the governance swing factor. In principle it could professionalize the deployment of state capital and mobilize funding for the industrial agenda off the government's balance sheet. In practice, its scale (managing assets that run into the hundreds of billions of dollars), its reporting lines, and its independence from political direction are unproven. International investors have flagged transparency and oversight as the key questions. How Danantara is governed will do more to shape the foreign-capital risk premium on Indonesian assets over the next two years than any single macro data point.

> **Our view:** We give the fiscal framework the benefit of the doubt for now. The 3% ceiling is intact, debt is low, and the deficit stays inside the rule in 2026. But the trajectory matters more than the level. If the meals program and downstreaming subsidies push the deficit toward the ceiling while tax revenue stalls, and if

> Danantara's governance disappoints, the rupiah and the local-bond market are where that stress will show first. This is the primary risk we monitor.

5. Bank Indonesia and the Rupiah

Bank Indonesia has run one of the more credible and pragmatic central-bank frameworks in the emerging world, and in 2025 it eased cautiously as inflation stayed comfortably inside its target band. The complication is external. The rupiah has drifted weaker, testing and at points breaching the psychologically important 16,000-per-dollar area and trading beyond it under stress, pressured by a firm dollar, soft commodity export receipts, and portfolio caution around the new fiscal agenda. BI's reaction function has therefore been a balancing act: cut to support a domestic-demand economy where inflation is benign, but not so fast or so far that the rate differential collapses and capital flight accelerates.

This is the classic emerging-market trade-off, and it sits inside the central-bank divergence theme that runs through all of Darmine's regional work. With the Federal Reserve cutting, BI has more room to ease than it would in a tightening-Fed world, and a narrowing US rate advantage is modestly rupiah-supportive at the margin. But the BOJ is tightening and the yen carry unwind is a periodic source of EM-Asia currency volatility. Indonesia, as a higher-yielding, current-account-sensitive market, feels those spasms. BI has leaned on foreign-exchange intervention, its rupiah securities (SRBI) instrument to hold in portfolio capital, and a slower easing pace than domestic inflation alone would justify.

Metric	2024	2025E	2026F	Comment
Real GDP growth (%)	5.0	5.0	5.0	Domestic-demand anchored, low trade beta
CPI inflation, avg (%)	2.8	2.5	2.8	Comfortably inside BI target band
BI policy rate, year-end (%)	6.00	5.25	4.75	Gradual easing, Fed-cut window
Fiscal deficit (% of GDP)	2.3	2.6	2.6	Inside 3% ceiling, wider on new spending
Govt debt (% of GDP)	39	40	41	Low by global standards
Current account (% of GDP)	-0.6	-1.0	-1.2	Widening as import-heavy investment rises
USD/IDR, year-end	16,100	16,600	16,400	Weak bias, terms-of-trade sensitive

Darmine estimates and forecasts, consistent with Bank Indonesia, IMF, and consensus ranges. Figures are illustrative house baseline, not point precision.

> **Our view:** We do not expect the rupiah to be a source of return. We expect it to be a source of risk that a patient investor is paid to bear through carry. Local-currency yields in the high-single-digits, against benign inflation and a low sovereign debt load, compensate for a currency we assume drifts weaker, not one that collapses. The accumulation case is built on that carry-plus-growth combination, hedged or unhedged according to mandate, not on a rupiah appreciation call we are unwilling to make.

6. FDI, External Balance, and the Diversification Premium

Foreign direct investment is the cleanest evidence that the Indonesian story is more than domestic politics. Realized FDI has run at record levels, concentrated in metals processing, industrial estates, and increasingly the battery chain. The quality of that inflow matters: FDI is stickier than portfolio flows, it builds productive capacity, and it signals that global capital views Indonesia as a structural allocation rather than a yield trade. In a year when regional trade volumes stall, FDI-led capacity building is exactly the kind of growth composition we want to own.

The external-balance counterpart is a current account that has swung from surplus in the commodity-boom years back into modest deficit, financed comfortably by that FDI. An import-heavy investment cycle, capital goods and construction materials for smelters and plants, naturally widens the trade balance in the near term even as it builds the export base of the future. That is a healthy deficit, the kind that funds tradable capacity, provided FDI keeps covering it. The risk is a stop in that inflow, whether from a global risk-off episode, a China-capital retrenchment, or a domestic policy misstep.

6.1 The China dependency

The uncomfortable truth beneath the FDI headline is Indonesia's deep reliance on Chinese capital, technology, and offtake for the nickel-battery complex. Much of the smelting capacity is Chinese-built and Chinese-owned, the processing technology is Chinese, and Chinese demand and pricing set the terms of trade for the output. This is a double edge. It delivered the buildout at extraordinary speed, but it exposes Indonesia to China's own deflation and metals oversupply, and it complicates access to Western markets that increasingly screen for Chinese content in EV supply chains. The prize Indonesia wants, a role in the diversified, non-China battery supply chain the West is building, is partly blocked by the Chinese ownership that built its capacity in the first place. Threading that needle, attracting Korean, Japanese, and Western capital to dilute the concentration, is the strategic task of the next five years.

> **Our view:** The diversification premium is the real long-term payoff, and it is contingent on governance and ownership evolving, not just tonnage growing. We watch the mix of new FDI closely. Each large non-China commitment into the precursor and cell stages raises our conviction; each sign that the complex remains a China-only enclave lowers it.

7. Valuation, Positioning, and the Accumulation Case

Indonesian equities trade at a forward multiple in the low-teens, a discount to their own history and to the region's growth-and-AI darlings, with the index heavily weighted toward banks, telecoms, and consumer names rather than the miners. That composition is a feature. It means the liquid equity market gives investors the consumption and formalization story, our highest-conviction leg, more than the volatile commodity leg. Domestic banks combine credit-penetration growth, high returns on equity, and reasonable valuations, and they are our preferred vehicle. Local-currency government bonds offer real yields that are attractive on a benign-inflation, low-debt sovereign, compensating for assumed currency drift.

The word accumulation is deliberate. This is not a market to chase on a catalyst or trade around a data print. The rupiah is too volatile and the commodity cycle too adverse for that to work reliably. It is a market to build a position in patiently, on weakness, sized for a three to five year hold, where the return comes from the compounding of a 5.0% domestic economy, high-single-digit carry, and the slow crystallization of the downstreaming option.

Investors who need appreciation this quarter should look elsewhere in our coverage. Investors building a structural EM-Asia allocation should be adding.

> **Our view:** Overweight Indonesia within an ASEAN and EM-Asia frame, expressed through domestic banks, consumer franchises, and local-currency bonds, accumulated on rupiah weakness. We are deliberately underweight the pure nickel miners inside that overweight: we want the country's structural growth and its carry, and we want to rent, not own, the commodity-price risk.

Portfolio Positioning Summary

Overweight: Indonesia, accumulate on weakness. Preferred expressions: domestic banks (credit penetration, high ROE, reasonable valuations), consumer-staples franchises (the 270 million base, formalization, QRIS-led digitalization), and local-currency government bonds (high-single-digit real yields, low sovereign debt, benign inflation). This is a three to five year structural allocation, not a trade.

Market weight: The nickel and battery-metals miners as a standalone equity exposure. The downstreaming option is real but the near-term commodity cycle, Chinese oversupply, and offshore margin leakage argue for holding the theme through the diversified index and FDI-linked industrials rather than concentrated single-name miner risk.

Underweight: Unhedged rupiah as a source of return, and any position premised on IDR appreciation. We assume the currency drifts weaker and treat it as a risk to be compensated for via carry, not a return driver. Also cautious on capital-intensive downstreaming plays that are net job-light relative to their headline investment.

Key catalysts to reassess: Reassess if: (1) the fiscal deficit trends toward the 3% ceiling while tax-to-GDP stalls; (2) Danantara governance disappoints on transparency or independence; (3) LME nickel weakens further on Chinese oversupply, pressuring terms of trade and export receipts; (4) FDI inflow stalls or fails to diversify beyond Chinese ownership; (5) BI is forced into defensive hikes to protect the rupiah during a yen-carry or risk-off spasm; or, on the upside, (6) large non-China commitments into precursor and cell capacity confirm the diversification premium.

Conclusion

Indonesia is not the fastest-growing economy in Darmine's coverage, and it is not the cleanest. India holds our highest structural conviction, and Singapore our preferred quality defense. What Indonesia offers is something scarcer in the 2026 landscape: a large, young, domestically driven economy growing steadily at 5.0%, insulated from the trade-volume air pocket hitting the region, and carrying a genuine industrial-transformation option in the nickel-to-battery chain that the entire world is trying to diversify into. The offsets are equally genuine. The rupiah drifts weaker, the commodity cycle is adverse, the fiscal framework faces a new administration's ambitions, and the whole downstreaming complex leans heavily on Chinese capital and demand.

We resolve that tension the way the title suggests: this is a resource play to accumulate, not to trade. The return comes from patience, from compounding a 5.0% economy and a high-single-digit local-currency carry while the downstreaming option slowly matures over 2027 to 2030, expressed through banks, consumers, and local bonds rather than through the volatile miners or a currency bet we decline to make. Overweight Indonesia, add on weakness, and watch the fiscal trajectory and the FDI mix as the signals that would confirm or break the thesis.

Sources and Further Reading

Bank Indonesia, Monetary Policy Review and BI Rate Decision, November 2025

IMF, Regional Economic Outlook: Asia and Pacific, October 2025

World Bank, Indonesia Economic Prospects and East Asia and Pacific Update, October 2025

Indonesia Ministry of Finance, 2026 State Budget (APBN) Statement, 2025

J.P. Morgan, Asia Emerging Markets Strategy Outlook 2026, November 2025

Societe Generale, ASEAN Macro and Rates Outlook, Q4 2025

Mastercard Economics Institute, Economic Outlook 2026: Southeast Asia Consumer Resilience, 2025

Deloitte, Southeast Asia Consumer and Downstreaming Insights, 2025

Reuters, coverage of Indonesia nickel downstreaming, Danantara, and rupiah policy, 2025

Nikkei Asia, reporting on Indonesia EV battery FDI and China supply-chain exposure, 2025